

United States Patent and Trademark Office

My

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/050,045	01/17/2002	Ebba A. Hansen	53394.000582	1178
21967 7	7590 10/19/2004		EXAM	INER
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP			KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200			3761	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/050,045	HANSEN, EBBA A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michele Kidwell	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for the provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS foute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	June 2004.					
• " •	nis action is non-final.					
· 						
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,16 and 27-45 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,16 and 27-45 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Rec	cation No eived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		·				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma					
2) ☐ Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-940) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>072104</u> .		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 7, 16 and 27 – 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pieniak et al. (US 6,123,694) and further in view of Goldman et al. (US 5,562,646)

With reference to claim 1, Pieniak et al. (hereinafter "Pieniak") discloses an absorbent article having a longitudinal dimension and a lateral dimension comprising a topsheet (30) a backsheet (26), whereby the topsheet and the backsheet form a first waist region, a second waist region longitudinally opposite the first waist region, and a crotch region therebetween (figure 2) and an absorbent core (28) at least partially disposed between the topsheet and the backsheet as set forth in figure 3.

The difference between Pieniak and claim 1 is the provision that the absorbent core is a laminate core comprising at least four layers.

Goldman et al. (hereinafter "Goldman") discloses an absorbent laminate core (20) comprising at least four layers (32,36,40,44,48,52) whereby two of the layers are outer layers comprising an upper layer (36) and a lower layer (52) and one of the inner layers (44) disposed between the upper layer and the lower layer is a central fibrous

layer containing from about 30 to about 50% by weight super absorbent polymer (col. 34, lines 36 – 47) whereby the absorbent laminate core comprises at least one additional inner layer disposed between the upper layer and the lower layer, the additional inner layer being selected from the group consisting of a fluid acquisition layer, a distribution layer, an additional fibrous layer optionally containing super absorbent polymer, a wicking layer, a storage layer, and combinations and fragments thereof as set forth in col. 33, lines 50 – 61.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the core of Pieniak by providing the absorbent laminate core of Goldman because the absorbent laminate core of Goldman provides an absorbent member having good wet integrity as taught by Goldman in col. 1, lines 14 – 18.

As to claim 2, Pieniak discloses an absorbent article further comprising at least one fastening element attached to a lateral edge of the first waist region and one or more target devices attached to the article in the second waist region, where at least one fastening element and the one or more target devices are capable of attaching to one another, the one or more target devices being located so that the first waist region and the second waist region of the garment may be joined to one another to secure the garment on a wearer as set forth in figure 1.

With reference to claim 3, Pieniak discloses an absorbent article further comprising elastic leg gathers (32) comprising one or more elastic materials (38) disposed adjacent the lateral edge of the crotch region, and standing leg gathers

Art Unit: 3761

disposed on the topsheet adjacent the lateral edge of the crotch region as set forth in figure 3.

Regarding claim 4, Pieniak discloses an absorbent article wherein the at least one fastening element comprises a hook portion of a hook and loop fastener and the one or more target devices comprise the loop portion of a hook and loop fastener as set forth in col. 4, lines 26 – 33.

As to claim 5, Pieniak discloses an absorbent article wherein the at least one fastening element is an adhesive tape and the one or more target devices comprise a tape receiving surface as set forth in col. 4, lines 15 – 27.

With respect to claim 6, Pieniak discloses an absorbent article wherein the at least one fastening element is comprised of a pair of laterally extending tabs disposed on the lateral edges of the first waist region, whereby the laterally extending tabs each include at least one fastening element as set forth in col. 4, lines 15 - 33 and in figures 1 - 2.

Regarding claim 7, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein one additional layer is used, and the layer is a fluid acquisition layer (32) as set forth in col. 33, lines 50 – 59.

As to claim 16, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the absorbent laminate core comprises an upper layer (32), a lower layer (52), a central fibrous layer disposed between the upper layer and the lower layer (44) and an additional layer (36) disposed between the central fibrous layer and the upper layer, the additional layer

Art Unit: 3761

being selected from a fluid acquisition layer, or a combination of a wicking and distribution layer as set forth in figure 1.

With reference to claim 27, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the central fibrous layer comprises from about 50% to about 95% by weight super absorbent polymers (SAP), and has a SAP efficiency of at least 80% as set forth in col. 34, lines 36 – 47.

Regarding claim 28, see Goldman, col. 34, lines 32 – 35 and col. 23, lines 14 – 32.

The difference between Pieniak in view of Goldman and claim 29 is the provision that the central fibrous layer comprises fibers selected from the listed group.

Absent a critical teaching and/or unexpected result, the examiner contends that the claimed limitation is an obvious matter of design choice that does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

Regarding claim 30, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the central fibrous layer further comprises up to 10% by weight wood pulp fibers as set forth in col. 24, lines 31 – 40 and in col. 34, lines 36 – 45.

With respect to claim 31, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the central fibrous layer further comprises particulate additives as set forth on page 9, in paragraph 0087.

With reference to claim 32, absent a critical teaching and/or unexpected result, the examiner contends that the claimed limitation is an obvious matter of design choice that does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art.

Art Unit: 3761

As to claim 33, Goldman discloses an absorbent article wherein the particulate additives are selected from the listed group as set forth in col. 24, lines 53 – 65.

With respect to claims 34 - 45, see the preceding rejection of Pieniak in view of Goldman since claims 34 - 45 recite a method that would necessarily flow from the article claims 1 - 7, 16 and 24 - 33 as previously rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele Kidwell whose telephone number is 703-305-2941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Schwartz can be reached on 703-308-1412. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michele Kidwell
Examiner
Art Unit 3761